

Observations and MHD Simulations of 3D Magnetic Reconnection

Xin Cheng (程鑫)

Yulei Wang (王雨雷), Jun Chen (陈俊), Chen Xing (邢晨), Yuankun Kou (寇元坤)

School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing University

DoA Colloquium 2024年5月16日@北京



Outline

- Background
- Observations of 3D magnetic reconnection in the corona
- Turbulent reconnection: dancing downflows and MHD simulations
- Micro-wave QPPs at a flare CS: quasi-periodic acceleration
- Summary



6.1.1 Brief History

Dungey (1953) was the first to suggest that "lines of force can be broken and rejoined". Sweet (1958a) then presented a model for the flattening of the magnetic field to form a current sheet when two bipolar regions come together at an X-type neutral point (see Figure 6.6). The magnetic field squeezes out the plasma between them in a process of steady-state reconnection. Parker (1957) developed scaling laws for the model and coined the phrase "reconnection of field lines".

....From Eric Priest's textbook <MHD of The Sun>, chapter 6, 2014



Magnetic Reconnection



- A fundamental physical process in plasmas;
- Changing magnetic field connectivity, accelerating particles, plasma heating [Priest 2014 textbook];
- Used for interpreting explosions of different scales.

Open Questions: how does reconnection take place in three dimensions (3D)? [Ji et al. 2022, Roadmap in Nature review physics]



Fast radio bursts



Magnetospheric storms



Stellar eruptions



Nuclear fusion

A key to understand CMEs and flares



(CSHKP model; Lin & Forbes 2000)

Evidence for solar magnetic reconnection



Dilemma of 2D standard model: hooked imprints



Dilemma of 2D standard model: dancing downflows

3D reconnection



In 3D reconnection (a) two flux tubes break and partly rejoin and (b) the projection of a flux tube slips through the diffusion region and flips in a virtual flow.

[From Priest et al. 2014, chapter 6, page 244]

Dancing features



- Mysterious dark downflows and fingerlike bright rays
- 50-500 km/s
- Downward moving flux tubes? RTI/RMI?

[McKenzie & Savage 2009; Guo et al. 2014; Reeves et al. 2017; Samanta et al. 2021; Shen et al. 2022.....]

Tiny 3D null-point reconnection in the corona



- A point-like brightening with a spatial scale of
 ~390 km has been visible at the EUI 174 A,
- Less visible and details at the AIA bands,
- Blobs continuously out of the bright point
- The temperature close to 10 MK

-The null-point is **co-spatial** with the bright point, the bottom of the fan surface corresponds to the basement.

- Continuous dynamics show persistent null-point reconnection.



E Persistent outflows and heating showing persistent reconnection



- Only a few large spikes are seen for all light curves over the whole box,

(Cheng, et al. 2023 NC)

Also see Duan, Tian, et al. 2024

High-resolution (<1") and high-cadence (<10 s) are necessary to disclose the persistent reconnection in the corona at a tiny scale

A flux rope eruption with a long current sheet

- Very fast CME with a speed of 3000 km/s and the second largest flare in the past ten years,

- The linear structure connecting the CME bottom and flare top is clearly observed

- Similar to 2D picture of solar eruption by Lin & Forbes (2000)

- Outflow jets appears to be very dynamic.



(Cheng et al. 2018)



AIA 193 running difference images

2017-09-10115:00:16.84

Intermittent outflows implying fragmented CS



- Velocities of the outflow jets have a wide distribution even though their average decreases,

- Intensity at the outflow region fluctuates in time with a power law spectrum,

- Anti-sunward blobs are intermittently formed in the current sheet with different sizes and velocities

Turbulent magnetic reconnection



- Due to tearing mode instability, the long CS is fragmented, forming many magnetic islands
- Giving rise to turbulence that enhances reconnection in turn

MHD simulations of 3D reconnection in flare current sheet





Comparison with observations



- The flare current sheet appears as a Petscheck-type 2D standard configuration seen from edge-on view

- Presenting finger-like bright-dark structures above the loop top seen from face-on view, similar to observations

Coupling of tearing-mode and Kelvin-Helmholtz causing turbulence in current sheet





- In the impulsive stage, the reconnection rate increases dramatically as kink instability starts and then dominates the break of the CS with the coupling of 3D KHI, giving rise to magnetic flux ropes of various scales.
- In the end, the evolution enters a fully turbulent stage, during which small-scale current structures keep emerging.

Turbulent reconnection in flare current sheet



- The magnetic energy release rate at the CS dominates the reconnection rate, at the later stage, the second reconnection also takes place at the flare loop top, even comparable with that at the CS.
- After t~7ta, the spectral index for turbulent magnetic energy at current sheet close to -5/3, consistent with Kolmogorov spectral index, showing the CS is fully turbulent.
- it tends to -3/2 at the flare loop top region, close to the Boldyrev value, showing a strong anisotropic turbulence.

SADs and strong-to-weak shear in flare loops



- SADs appear to be bright in XRT and AIA 94 A but dark in 171 A with initial speed being sub-Alfvenic but decreasing as approaching the loop top.
- Highly fluctuated magnetic fields in the CS have different footpoints with those at the loop top to flare ribbons.





(Wang, Cheng et al. 2023, ApJL)

Why is the current sheet so rare?



Determining reconnection locations and topologies



(Wang, Cheng et al. 2024, A&A) LoRD Toolkit: open source

(Wang, Cheng et al. 2024 in preparation)

Statistical Laws of Turbulent Reconnection



— QPPs signal

Imaging quasi-periodic MW emissions at flare current sheet evidence for quasi-periodic acceleration

Solar radio burst.

(Kou, Cheng, Wang et al. 2022, Nat. Commun.)



Earth to scale

Quasi-periodic microwave imaging of flare current sheet

EOVSA (microwave, 3-18 GHz) and AIA (EUV, 211 A)



Microwave sources seem to be emitted by non-thermal electrons accelerated in the close vicinity of the flare current sheet

(Kou, Cheng, Wang et al. 2022 NC)



Main sources located at the flare loops with their centroids almost unchanged at different frequencies

Secondary sources along the CS with their centroids ascending with decreasing frequencies

Quasi-periodicity of spatially resolved MW emissions



- $T_{\rm b}$ for the two sources vary synchronously and present an obvious quasi-periodicity

- The main period is in the range of about 10-20 s and the second one is around 30-60 s.

- Such a periodicity is also observed in the time derivative of the spatially integrated SXR emission.

Non-thermal electrons generate the flare hot plasma and excite MW emissions

Spectra of spatially resolved MW emissions



- Temporal evolution of spectral index is synchronized with that of brightness temperature

- Spectra are the hardest at Region 0 and become soft toward Region 1 and 2, but slightly soft at the flare loops

Quasi-periodic acceleration of non-thermal electrons producing quasi-periodic microwave emissions

(Kou, Cheng, Wang et al. 2022 NC; Chen et al. 2020 NA)

Quasi-periodical MW emissions caused by magnetic islands



(Kou, Cheng, Wang et al. 2022 NC)



Summary

- 1. 2D reconnection is easy to understand but not realistic, we determined **3D structure of reconnection** either for the large-scale eruption or for the tiny-scale bright point;
- We provided evidence for turbulent reconnection in the long CME-flare CS; through high-resolution 3D MHD simulations with realistic flare environment, we determined its origin and reproduced flare dynamics of different scales comparable with observations;
- 3. We located MW QPPs at the flare current sheet, suggesting electrons are accelerated by quasiperiodical reconnection, likely due to the modulation of plasmoids.

Thanks!

References:

- 1. Sun J.Q., Cheng X., Ding M.D., et al., 2015, NatCo, 6, 7598
- 2. Cheng X., Li Y., Wan L.F., et al., 2018, ApJ, 866, 64
- 3. Kou Y.K., Cheng X., Wang Y.L., et al., 2022, NatCo, 13, 7680
- . Cheng X., Priest E., Li H.T., et al., 2023, NatCo, 14, 2107
- Wang Y.L., Cheng X., Ding M.D., et al., 2023, ApJL, 954, L36